Atty

Kruthers, Heather H. (for Public Guardian – Conservator – Petitioner)

(1) Third and Final Account and Report of Conservator; and (2) Petition for Allowance of Compensation to Conservator and Attorney and (3) Termination of Conservatorship and (4) Distribution

Λ	o: 52	DIDLIC CHARDIAN Copper peter of the Demon and	NEEDS /DDODI EARS /COAAAAEAITS.
Age	e: 52	PUBLIC GUARDIAN, Conservator of the Person and Estate, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
		=	
		Account period: 1-18-08 through 9-26-12	
		Accounting: \$ 53,413.97	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.	Beginning POH: \$ 2,479.31	
_	Verified	Ending POH: \$ 2,592.33	
Ě		(\$1,082.39 cash plus personal property items	
	Inventory PTC	including furniture and furnishings)	
	Not.Cred.	Conservator: \$3,712.16 (per itemization)	
_	Notice of Hrg	Attorney: \$5,625.00 (per local rule, for account	
~	Aff.Mail v	neriod totaling (1% vears)	
	Aff.Pub.	Bond fee: \$112.50 (ok)	
	Sp.Ntc.	–	
	Pers.Serv.	Public Guardian has determined that the	
	Conf. Screen	Conservatee has the capacity to manage her own assets and finances and provide for her own	
	Letters	medical and personal needs and therefore requests	
	Duties/Supp	the Court terminate the conservatorship of the	
	Objections	person and estate.	
	Video Receipt	Petitioner states that after only partial payment of	
~	CI Report	fees and commissions, there will be no cash	
	9202	remaining on hand; however, Petitioner requests	
>	Order	permission to distribute the personal property to the	
	Aff. Posting	Conservatee.	Reviewed by: skc
<u> </u>	Status Rpt	Petitioner requests an order:	Reviewed on: 11-29-12
	UCCJEA	1. Finding that conservatorship is no longer	Updates:
	Citation ETP Notice	necessary and terminating conservatorship;	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	2. Approving, allowing and settling the account;	File 1 - Swederofsky
		3. Authorizing conservator's and attorney's	
		compensation; 4. Authorizing payment of the bond fee; and	
		5. Authorizing distribution of the personal property	
		on hand to the Conservatee	
		29-12.	

2 Melvin Jones (CONS/P)

Case No.04CEPR01510

Atty Fanucchi, Edward L. (for Conservatee)

Atty Kruthers, Heather H. (for Public Guardian – Conservator/Petitioner)

Petition for Termination of Conservatorship of the Person (Prob. C. 1861)

Age: 69		PUBLIC GUARDIAN, Conservator, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Co	nt. from Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory	Petitioner was appointed Conservator of the person and estate on 03/08/05. Due to there being no assets of in the conservatorship estate, the termination of the conservatorship of the estate was approved on 04/09/06. Petitioner has continued to serve as Conservator of the Person.	
	PTC	Petitioner states that Mr. Jones was on probation	
	Not.Cred.	until 2010 and is doing well in his current living	
✓	Notice of Hrg	arrangement with his wife. She can make	
✓	Aff.Mail w/	medical decisions for him and care for him at	
	Aff.Pub.	home. Therefore, it appears that	
	Sp.Ntc.	conservatorship is no longer necessary.	
	Pers.Serv.	Petitioner states that neither he nor his attorney	
	Conf. Screen	(County Counsel) have received compensation	
	Letters	for their services and both waive fees so there is	
	Duties/Supp	nothing more to report to the Court.	
	Objections		
	Video Receipt	Court Investigator Jennifer Young filed a report	
	Cl Report	on 11/29/12.	
	9202	Petitioner prays for an Order:	
√	Order	Finding that a conservatorship of the	
	Aff. Posting	person is no longer necessary and	Reviewed by: JF
	Status Rpt	terminate the conservatorship of the	Reviewed on: 11/29/12
	UCCJEA	person;	Updates:
	Citation	Discharging Petitioner as conservator of	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice	the person.	File 2 - Jones

Wilma Ruth Manuel (Estate)

Knudson, David N. (for Cynthia Blackstock – Executor – Petitioner)

Petition to Confirm Title to Real Property in the Estate [Prob. C. 850 et seq.]

	TPO Postskining Enforcement of With of Execution and	
	TRO Restraining Enforcement of Writ of Execution and Restraining Fresno County Sheriff from Evicting Residents	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
	in 12CECL05667 expires 12-5-12	Note: Court records indicate that
	-	the Petition for Order Accepting
	CYNTHIA BLACKSTOCK, Executor, is Petitioner.	Appointment of Respondent as Successor Trustee for the Wilma
Aff.Sub.Wit.	Petitioner states: On or about 1-14-00, Petitioner filed a	Manuel Family Trust filed by Mickey
	partial I&A including the residential real property	Manuel in 12CEPR00408 was
Verified	located at 6684 N. Pleasant in Fresno. In spring of 2012,	denied and dismissed on 6-18-12.
Inventory	Petitioner became aware that Mickey Manual, aka Mickey Manual, Sr., former spouse of decedent, had	Examiner notes that the Court did
PTC	asserted a claim to the residence, alleging various	not make further orders regarding ownership of the property in that
Not.Cred.	theories. On 3-27-12, Mickey Manuel filed an action	case.
Notice of Hrg	claiming he was trustee of a trust that held title to the	
Aff.Mail	residence. Originally filed in the estate proceedings, the	The Court may require
Aff.Pub.	matter was determined to be a trust case and a	information regarding the current status of the Unlawful
Sp.Ntc.	separate file was opened (12CEPR00408).	Detainer action in 12CECL05667.
Pers.Serv.	Petitioner filed a response and status report indicating	
Conf. Screen	that prior proceedings had occurred in the estate, and	Examiner notes that the TRO
Letters	that more than 10 years ago, Mickey Manuel had filed	issued by the Probate Court was in response to Petitioner's Ex
Duties/Supp	documentation claiming to be trustee of a trust	Parte Motions to Quash Writ of
Objections	established by Wilma Ruth Manuel and/or otherwise entitled to possession of the residence.	Possession and Consolidate this
Video	·	Estate with the Unlawful Detainer
Receipt	At hearing on 6-18-12, Mickey Manuel's petition was	action, and that such motion to
CI Report	dismissed. Nonetheless, he has continued to harass	quash must be brought in the appropriate case.
9202	Petitioner, claiming to be the owner of the residence. A	appropriate case.
Order	copy of a purported "60 day notice" is attached.	2. Examiner notes that Notice of
	Petitioner states the residence is, and at all times has	Hearing was mailed to most of
	been an asset of the estate, and Mickey Manuel has	the interested parties at Petitioner's address. The Court
	no interest in it whatsoever. Prior proceedings have determined these issues, and Mickey Manuel is now	may wish to confirm that is
	barred from reasserting any of said claims.	everyone's address and that
	,	notice has been served
	Petitioner is informed and believes that the petition so	appropriately.
Aff. Posting	filed contains false and perjurious allegations; that Mickey Manuel knows or should know that the issue of	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt	ownership has been determined, most recently by this	Reviewed on: 11-29-12
UCCJEA	court on 6-18-12, and that in filing said petition he seeks	Updates:
Citation	only to delay administration of the estate, requiring	Recommendation:
FTB Notice	Petitioner and the estate to expend additional funds for	File 3 - Manuel
	extraordinary fees to oppose same.	1
	Petitioner prays for an order:	
	1. Confirming that the above-described real property	
	is an asset of the decedent's estate subject to the	
	administration herein;	
	2. Dismissing the unlawful detainer action; and	
	3. Requiring Mickey Manuel to pay Petitioner's	
	attorney's fees incurred in bringing this petition.	

4A In the Matter of the Verni Family Trust (Trust)

Case No. 10CEPR00639

- Atty Marchini, Joseph; Fashing, Peter; of Baker Manock & Jensen (for Petitioner Carmela DeSantis, daughter and Trust Beneficiary)
- Atty Baldwin, Kenneth A.; Thompson, Timothy; Cunningham, Nikole E.; of McCormick Barstow (for Antonietta "Rosa" Verni, daughter and Co-Trustee, and Nicola "Nick" Verni, son and Co-Trustee)
- Atty Armo, Lance, sole practitioner (for Leonard "Dino" Verni, son, and Nicola "Nick" Verni, son and Co-Trustee)
- Atty Bohn, Jeffrey D., sole practitioner (for Erlinda M. Verni, surviving spouse)

Status Hearing

Sidius redning							
	narda DOD: 7/31/20		CARMELA DeSANTIS, daughter and Trust	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:			
Save	Saverio DOD: 5/25/2009		Beneficiary, filed a Petition to Remove Trustees; Appoint Receiver; Surcharge Trustees; Deny Trustees Compensation; Impose Constructive Trust	<u>Page B</u> is the Petition to Remove Trustees, etc.			
Coi	nt. from Aff.Sub.Wit.		on Assets; and Cause Proceedings to Trace and Recover Assets on 7/26/2012.	<u>Page C</u> is the Petition to Construe Trust Provision.			
	Verified		NICOLA "NICK" VERNI, son and Successor Trustee	Page D is the Petition to Establish			
	Inventory		of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST, and ANTONIETTA "ROSA"	Claim of Ownership in Favor of Trust			
	PTC		VERNI, daughter and Trustee of the MERGED	to Property, etc.			
	Status Rep.	Χ	FAMILY SUB-TRUST, filed a Response to Petition to	Nata Manda Cuda u data d			
	Notice of Hrg	Χ	Remove Trustees, etc. on 9/27/12.	Note: Minute Order dated 10/2/2012 from the last hearing in			
	Aff.Mail	Χ	CARMELA DeSANTIS filed a Petition to Construe Trust	this case set a Status Hearing on			
	Aff.Pub.		Provision on 7/26/2012.	12/5/2012.			
	Sp.Ntc.		AUGKATTAN I DOGA ATTANISH I				
	Pers.Serv.		NICK VERNI and ROSA VERNI filed a Response to Petition to Construe Trust Provision on 9/27/2012.	Need verified status report and proof of service of notice of the			
	Conf. Screen		Tellilottio Colisiloe Ilosi Flovisio Fott 7/2//2012.	status hearing pursuant to Local			
	Letters		CARMELA DeSANTIS filed a Petition to Establish	Rule 7.5(B).			
	Duties/Supp		Claim of Ownership, in Favor of Trust, to Property				
	Objections		and for Order Directing its Transfer to the Trustees to Hold in Trust on 8/14/2012.				
	Video		11010 111 11031 011 0/14/2012.				
	Receipt Cl Report		NICK VERNI and ROSA VERNI filed a Response to				
H	9202		Petition to Establish Claim of Ownership on 9/27/12.				
	Order		CAPAGE A Dos ANTIS filed Amended Objections to				
	Aff. Posting		CARMELA DeSANTIS filed Amended Objections to First Account Current of Trustee, and Objections to	Reviewed by: LEG			
	Status Rpt		Second Account Current of Trustee, both filed on	Reviewed on: 11/29/12			
	UCCJEA		7/26/2012.	Updates:			
	Citation			Recommendation:			
	FTB Notice			File 4A - Verni			

- 4B In the Matter of the Verni Family Trust (Trust) Case No. 10CEPR00639
- Atty Marchini, Joseph; Fashing, Peter; of Baker Manock & Jensen (for Petitioner Carmela DeSantis, daughter and Trust Beneficiary)
- Atty Baldwin, Kenneth A.; Thompson, Timothy; Cunningham, Nikole; of McCormick Barstow (for Antonietta "Rosa" Verni, daughter and Co-Trustee, and Nicola "Nick" Verni, son and Co-Trustee)
- Atty Armo, Lance, sole practitioner (for Leonard "Dino" Verni, son, and Nicola "Nick" Verni, son and Co-Trustee)
- Atty Bohn, Jeffrey D., sole practitioner (for Erlinda M. Verni, surviving spouse)

Petition to: (1) Remove Trustees; (2) Appoint Receiver; (3) Surcharge Trustees; (4) Deny Trustees Compensation; (5) Impose Constructive Trust on Assets; and (6) Cause Proceedings to Trace and Recover Assets [Prob. C. 15642, 16420 & 17200]

CARMELA DeSANTIS, daughter and Trust Beneficiary, is Petitioner.	Recover Assets [Prob. C. 15642, 16420 & 17200]						
Petitioner states: The VERNI FAMILY TRUST of 1999 was created by SAVERIO VERNI and LEONARDA VERNI on 6/10/1999, and was amended once by Settlors on the following day, 6/11/1999; Leonarda died on 7/31/2000, thereby causing the Trust to be divided into three sub-trusts: the VERNI MARITAL TRUST, the VERNI FAMILY TRUST: Nofi.Cred. Nofi.Cred. Nofi.Cred. Nofi.Cred. Nofi.Cred. Nofi.Cred. Nofi.Cred. Nofi.Cred. Sp.Nitc. Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Nitc. Plowing Leonarda's death, Saverio amended the SURVIVOR'S TRUST seven times, with the Eighth Amendment (the final) amending the SURVIVOR'S TRUST in its entirety; Saverio served as sole trustee of the three sub-trusts until his death on 5/25/2009, and upon his death the Marital Sub-Trust terminated and its principal was added to the Family sub-trust, which became the MERGED FAMILY SUB-TRUST; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Please see additional page~ Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 11/29/12 Updates: Recommendation:	Leonarda DOD: 7/31/20	CARMELA DeSANTIS, daughter and Trust Beneficiary, is	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:				
Petitioner states: The VERNI FAMILY TRUST of 1999 was created by Aff. Sub.Wit. ✓ Verified Invertory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg X Aff. Nub. Sp.Ntc. ✓ Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Video Receipt CI Report Video Receipt CI Report Status Rpt Petitioner states: The VERNI FAMILY TRUST of 1999 was created by SAVERIO VERNI and LEONARDA VERNI on 6/10/1999, and Order to Use Certified Shorthand Reporter is signed by the Court. Matter set for Status Hearing on 12/5/2012. The Court indicates to all coursel that it expects to hear at the next hearing whether they will require the Court's assistance. Trusts attached as Exhibit A/; Following Leonarda's death, Saverio amended the SURVIVOR'S TRUST is even times, with the Eighth Amendment (the final) amending the SURVIVOR'S TRUST in its entirety; Saverio served as sole trustee of the three sub-trusts until his death on 5/25/2009, and upon his death the Marital Sub-Trust terminated and its principal was added to the Family sub-trust, which became the MERGED FAMILY SUB-TRUST; Pursuant to the Trust terms, ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI, daughter, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the Survivor's TRUST; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the Survivor's TRUST; Please see additional page~ Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 11/29/12 Updates: Recommendation:	Saverio DOD: 5/25/2009	Petitioner.					
The VERNI FAMILY TRUST of 1999 was created by SAVERIO VERNI and LEONARDA VERNI on 6/10/1999, and was amended once by Settlors on the following day, 6/11/1999; Leonarda died on 7/31/2000, thereby causing the Trust to be divided into three sub-trusts: the VERNI MARITAL TRUST, the VERNI FAMILY TRUST, (which was amended once during both Trustors' lifetimes), and the VERNI SURVIVOR'S TRUST (copies of Trusts attached as Exhibit A); Aff.Natil Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. ✓ Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Dutlies/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report 9202 ✓ Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation The VERNI FAMILY TRUST of 1999 was created by SAVERIO of 1/10/1999, and HEONARDA VERNI on 6/10/1999, and HEONARDA VERNI on 6/10/1999, and worklet on 8/10/1999; Leonarda died on 7/31/2000, thereby causing the Trust to be divided into three sub-trusts: the VERNI MARITAL TRUST, the VERNI FAMILY TRUST, (which was amended once during both Trustors' lifetimes), and the VERNI SURVIVOR'S TRUST (copies of Trusts attached as Exhibit A); Following Leonarda's death, Saverio amended the SURVIVOR'S TRUST in its entirety; Saverio served as sole trustee of the three sub-trusts until his death on 5/25/2009, and upon his death the Marital Sub-Trust terminated and its principal was added to the Family sub-trust, which became the MERGED FAMILY SUB-TRUST; Pursuant to the Trust terms, ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI, daughter, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the Survivor'S TRUST; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NiCola VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as S							
Aff. Sub. Wit. Aff. Sub. Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not. Cred. Notice of Hrg X Aff. Mail Aff. Pub. Sp. Ntc. Conf. Screen Letters Dulies/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Aff. Posting Aff. Posting Status Rpt Aff. Posting Status Rpt Aff. Posting Status Rpt Aff. Posting Status Rpt Aff. Posting Saverio VerRin and LEONARDA VERNi on 6/10/1999, and was amended once by Settlors on the following day, 6/11/1999; Leonarda don on 7/31/2000, thereby causing the Trust to be divided into three sub-trusts: the VERNI MARITAL TRUST, the VERNI SURVIVOR'S TRUST (copies of Trusts attrached as Exhibit A); Following Leonarda's death, Saverio amended the SURVIVOR'S TRUST in its entirety; Saverio served as sole trustee of the three sub-trusts until his death on 5/25/2009, and upon his death the Marital Sub-Trust terminated and its principal was added to the Family sub-trust, which became the MERGED FAMILY SUB-TRUST; Pursuant to the Trust terms, ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI, daughter, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Please see additional page~ Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 11/29/12 Updates: Recommendation:			•				
and was amended once by Settlors on the following day, 6/11/1999; Leonarda died on 7/31/2000, thereby causing the Trust to be divided into three sub-trusts: the VERNI MARITAL TRUST, the VERNI FAMILY TRUST, (which was amended once during both Trustors' lifetimes), and the VERNI SURVIVOR'S TRUST (copies of Trusts attached as Exhibit A); Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Yorder Aff. Posting Status Hearing on 12/5/2012. The Court indicates to all counsel that it expects to hear at the next hearing whether they have engaged in mediction or whether they will require the Court's assistance. TRUST in its entirety; Saverio served as sole trustee of the three sub-trusts until his death on 5/25/2009, and upon his death the Marital Sub-Trust terminated and its principal was added to the Family sub-trust, which became the MERGED FAMILY SUB-TRUST; Pursuant to the Trust terms, ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI, daughter, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; ∼Please see additional page∼ Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 11/29/12 Updates: Recommendation:	Cont. from 091112, 100212	•					
Verified	Aff.Sub.Wit.		,				
Inventory	✓ Verified		,				
PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg X Aff.Mail Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duttes/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Py202 Aff. Posting Status Rpt VERNI MARITAL TRUST, the VERNI FAMILY TRUST, (which was amended once during both Trustors' lifetimes), and the VERNI SURVIVOR'S TRUST (copies of Trusts attached as Exhibit A); Following Leonarda's death, Saverio amended the SURVIVOR'S TRUST is even times, with the Eighth Amendment (the final) amending the SURVIVOR'S TRUST in its entirety; Saverio served as sole trustee of the three sub-trusts until his death on 5/25/2009, and upon his death the Marital Sub-Trust terminated and its principal was added to the Family sub-Trust, which became the MERGED FAMILY SUB-TRUST; Pursuant to the Trust terms, ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI, daughter, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Please see additional page~ Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 11/29/12 Updates: Recommendation:	Inventory	,	_				
Noticed Notice of Hrg X	PTC						
Notice of Hrg X			· ·				
Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. ✓ Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Successor Truste of the Merged Family Sub-Trust; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA ✓ Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation Trusts attached as Exhibit A); Following Leonarda's death, Saverio amended the SURVIVOR'S assistance. Trusts attached as Exhibit A); Following Leonarda's death, Saverio amended the SURVIVOR'S TRUST seven times, with the Eighth Amendment (the final) amending the SURVIVOR'S TRUST in its entirety; Saverio served as sole trustee of the three sub-trusts until his death on 5/25/2009, and upon his death the Marital Sub-Trust terminated and its principal was added to the Family sub-trust, which became the MERGED FAMILY SUB-TRUST; Pursuant to the Trust terms, ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI, daughter, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; ~Please see additional page~ Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 11/29/12 Updates: Recommendation:			,				
Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. ✓ Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report CI Report Y Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation Following Leonarda's death, Saverio amended the SURVIVOR'S TRUST is death it he Eighth Amendment (the final) amending the SURVIVOR'S TRUST in its entirety; Saverio served as sole trustee of the three sub-trusts until his death on 5/25/2009, and upon his death the Marital Sub-Trust terminated and its principal was added to the Family sub-trust, which became the MERGED FAMILY SUB-TRUST; Pursuant to the Trust terms, ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI, daughter, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA ✓ Order Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation Following Leonarda's death, Saverio amended the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 11/29/12 Updates: Recommendation:		Trusts attached as Exhibit A);	,				
Sp.Ntc.			require the Court's assistance.				
TRUST in its entirety; Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp Objections Video Receipt CI Report Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Pursuant to the Survivor's Trust; Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 11/29/12 Updates: Recommendation:							
Saverio served as sole trustee of the three sub-trusts until his death on 5/25/2009, and upon his death the Marital Sub-Trust terminated and its principal was added to the Family sub-trust, which became the MERGED FAMILY SUB-TRUST; Video Receipt • Pursuant to the Trust terms, ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI, daughter, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust; Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Status Rpt • Please see additional page Reviewed on: 11/29/12 Updates: Citation • Receipt • Reviewed on: 11/29/12 Updates: Recommendation:		a contract the second s					
Letters							
Duties/Supp							
Duties/Supp added to the Family sub-trust, which became the MERGED FAMILY SUB-TRUST;		·					
Video Receipt • Pursuant to the Trust terms, ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI, daughter, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust; 9202 • Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Status Rpt • Pursuant to the Fighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Status Rpt • Pursuant to the Trust terms, ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI, daughter, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust; • Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; • Pursuant to the Trust terms, ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI, daughter, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust; • Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; • Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; • Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; • Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST;		· · ·					
Receipt daughter, is first appointed and currently serves as CI Report Successor Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust; 9202 Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Status Rpt Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; VERNI Status Rpt Please see additional page~ UCCJEA Reviewed by: LEG Citation Reviewed on: 11/29/12 Updates: Recommendation:	<u>Objections</u>						
CI Report Successor Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust; 9202 Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA ✓ Order VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Status Rpt Status Rpt UCCJEA Please see additional page~ Citation Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 11/29/12 Updates: Recommendation:		Pursuant to the Trust terms, ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI,					
9202 Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA ✓ Order VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA ~Please see additional page~ Citation Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 11/29/12 Updates: Recommendation:	Receipt	daughter, is first appointed and currently serves as					
✓ Order VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as Aff. Posting Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Reviewed by: LEG Status Rpt ~Please see additional page~ Reviewed on: 11/29/12 UCCJEA Updates: Citation Recommendation:	CI Report	Successor Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust;					
Aff. Posting Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST; Please see additional page~ Reviewed by: LEG Reviewed on: 11/29/12 Updates: Recommendation:	9202	Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA					
Status Rpt ~Please see additional page~ Reviewed on: 11/29/12 UCCJEA Updates: Citation Recommendation:	✓ Order	VERNI, son, is first appointed and currently serves as					
UCCJEA Updates: Citation Recommendation:	Aff. Posting	Successor Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST ;	Reviewed by: LEG				
Citation Recommendation:	Status Rpt	~Please see additional page~	Reviewed on: 11/29/12				
	UCCJEA		Updates:				
FIR Notice File 4R - Verni			Recommendation:				
THE 4D VOID	FTB Notice		File 4B - Verni				

Case No. 10CEPR00639

Petitioner states, continued:

- The beneficiaries of each of the Sub-Trusts are the Settlor's five children: ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI (Rosa),
 NICOLA VERNI (Nick), LEONARD VERNI (Dino), MARIA STANZIALE, and CARMELA DeSANTIS (Petitioner); and with respect to specific distributions from the Survivor's Sub-Trust only: ERLINDA MARCIANO VERNI (\$200,000.00) and
 ST. ANTHONY OF PADUA CATHOLIC CHURCH (\$200,000.00);
- Following the death of Saverio and Leonarda, the Merged Family Sub-Trust names Rosa as First Successor Appointee, and Maria as Second Successor Appointee;
- Petitioner seeks a Court order pursuant to Probate Code § 15642 removing Rosa as trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust on the grounds noted below; Petitioner is informed that Maria will decline to serve as the next successor trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust, and Petitioner seeks a determination by the Court that Maria has declined to so serve;
- The Eighth Amendment provides that upon Saverio's ceasing to act as trustee, Nick will serve as trustee of the Survivor's Sub-Trust;
- Petitioner seeks a Court order pursuant to Probate Code § 15642 <u>removing Nick</u> as trustee of the Survivor's Sub-Trust on the grounds noted below; Petitioner also seeks a determination by the Court that <u>Dino is not qualified</u> to serve as next successor trustee of the Survivor's Sub-Trust based upon the allegations noted below;

Petitioner states the Trust and interests of Petitioner will suffer loss or injury pending a hearing on this matter, and requests the Court immediately suspend the powers of the trustees, appoint a temporary trustee, and compel the trustees to surrender all Trust property to such temporary trustee(s) pursuant to Probate Code § 15642(e) and 17206

Petitioner also seeks a Court order removing the trustees and appointing a suitable person or persons selected by the Court to act as successor trustee(s) to receive the assets of the Family Sub-Trust and Survivor's Sub-Trust and to manage each respective Sub-Trust until such time as a final distribution of the respective Sub-Trust is made.

Petitioner's Grounds for Removal and Other Relief:

- Over Petitioner's objections, Trustees Nick and Rosa have provided a commingled accounting for the Merged Family and Survivor's Sub-Trusts, which fails to segregate each Sub-Trust's assets, liabilities, receipts and disbursements (copies of the first and second joint accountings of trustees attached as Exhibit B); the allegations that follow may not distinguish between the respective Sub-Trust because the nature the accounting will not allow it; [the following allegations of the practices by the Trustees are all practices that continue to the present]:
- 1. Based on the first and second accountings of Trustees, the Trustees are breaching their fiduciary duties by commingling the assets of the Merged Family Sub-Trust and Survivor's Sub-Trust;
- 2. Based on the first and second accountings of Trustees, the Trustees are allowing real property assets of the Trust consisting of mature almond orchards to be exploited without compensation by Dino upon terms which are detrimental to the Trust and which confer a disproportionate benefit to beneficiary Dino; the Trustees purport to lease to Dino 102 acres of almond orchards in trust at \$500 per acre; however, Dino does not pay actual rent, but instead provides receivables for his rent, and the receivables do not earn interest and are not actually collected by the Trust; according to the accountings, this practice has been going on for a number of years and the number of unpaid receivables are accumulating [emphasis in original];
- 3. Based on the first and second accountings of Trustees, the Trustees are using Trust assets to pay the expenses of Dino's separate farming operations [emphasis in original];

Petitioner's Grounds for Removal and Other Relief, continued:

- 4. Based on the first and second accountings of Trustees, the Trustees are using Trust resources to market and manage the sale of the products from the harvest of said orchards and to collect the receipts from those sales, all for the sole benefit of Dino [emphasis in original];
- 5. As a result of the matters alleged above, the Trust is being denied the profit on fully mature orchard land owned by the Trust, for which the Trust pays all cultural expenses and for which the Trust pays all administrative expenses incurred in the sale and collection of receipts; the Trust receives nothing in return and the profits from the operation, which belong to the Trust, have been and continue to be, diverted to Dino, all with full knowledge and acquiescence of the trustees [emphasis in original];
- 6. Based on the first and second accountings of Trustees, the Trustees are allowing Trust labor and equipment to be diverted to Dino's personal farming uses, while Dino is charging the Trust (and the Trustees are paying) for replacement farm labor and equipment purportedly supplied by Dino for use on other acreage owned by the Trust; the Trust owns all of the farming equipment necessary to farm its properties, and as revealed by the accountings, employs and contracts with farm laborers on a scale which is more than sufficient to meet, and appears to exceed, that which is needed for Trust farming operations;
- 7. Based on the first and second accountings of Trustees, Dino controls and uses a revolving charge account held jointly in his name and the name of Saverio; the account was opened after Saverio died because the first statement provided is for 6/6 7/6/2009, the month following Saverio's death and the previous balance shown on the statement is \$0.00; over the ~18 months following Saverio's death (period covered by the accountings) charges on the account totaled \$183,661.17; the Trustees have been paying these charges from Trust funds; in addition, in 2009 the Trustees have paid an additional \$228,650.23 in credit charges for which no detail has been provided in the accountings, with a total cash Trust disbursement in 2009 of \$807,644.43; the Trustees purported to have paid in 2010 an additional \$25,267.33 on lines of credit for which no detail has been provided, for a total Trust cash disbursement in 2010 of \$1,016,930.15; the Trustees have made such payments without adequate controls and information to ensure the debts have been incurred for Trust purposes; [examples of charges made to the Trust account are listed, such as for restaurants, department stores, grocery stores, clothing stores, florists, pet supply stores, and pharmacies]; these charges are not Trust related;
- 8. Some or all of the amounts charged by Dino and paid for by the Trustees have been for Dino's own personal use and benefit and for his separately owned business; other revolving debt charges paid for by the Trustees have been similarly used to benefit Dino to the detriment of the Trust and exclusion of its other beneficiaries;
- 9. The Trustees have allowed Dino to convert additional Trust property to his own use and benefit, including substantial quantities of almond meats; Dino's purported claim of right to such commodities and the Trustee's acquiescence to this claim of right, have resulted in depletion of Trust assets; the Trustees have completely failed to account for this inventory in their first and second accountings; other commodities produced by the Trust are being sold to third parties, e.g., peddlers at various farmer's markets in the Southern California region, and local restaurants and businesses, the proceeds of which are not reported in Trustees accountings and are bring improperly diverted from the Trust; the sale proceeds of Trust commodities that are actually being reported in Trustee's accountings do not reflect actual amounts received;

Petitioner's Grounds for Removal and Other Relief, continued:

- 10. The Trustees' first accounting acknowledges that real property distributions from 2 of the 3 Sub-Trusts made by Saverio during his lifetime to Dino and to Nick were improper and without valid authority, yet the Trustees have failed and refuse to act to reclaim and recoup said properties into the Trust;
- 11. The Trustees have failed to disclose, account for, and marshal assets owned in trust and located in Italy;
- 12. The Trustees are also allowing trust equipment, labor and resources to be used without compensation by Rosa in her personal farming business.
- Grounds for removal of a trustee by a Court pursuant to Probate Code § 15642 and 16420 include where (a) a
 trustee has committed a breach of trust; (b) where the trustee fails or declines to act; and (c) for other good
 cause;

<u>Duties Violated by the Above Acts and Omissions</u>: Trustees have committed numerous breaches of trust and violated trustee duties by their conduct [as provided in Probate Code § 16000 et seq., specific citations omitted] as follows, with limitation:

- 1. Duty to administer the Trust according to the Trust instrument;
- 2. Duty to administer the Trust solely in the interest of the beneficiaries;
- 3. Duty to deal impartially with beneficiaries and to act impartially in investing and managing the trust property;
- 4. Duty not to use or deal with trust property for the trustee's own profit or for any other purpose unconnected with the trust, nor to take part in any transaction in which the trustee has an interest adverse to the beneficiary;
- 5. Duty to take reasonable steps under the circumstances and take and keep control of and preserve the trust property;
- 6. Duty to make the trust property productive under the circumstances and in furtherance of the purposes of the trust;
- 7. Duty to keep the trust property separate from other property not subject to the trust, and to ensure that trust property is designated as property of the trust; and
- 8. Duty to take reasonable steps to enforce claims that are trust property.

Remedies Sought by Petitioner:

- In addition to <u>removal of the Trustees</u> and finding that the trustees are either unfit or unwilling to serve, Petitioners seek an order for the following [pursuant to Probate Code §§ 15642 and 16420, citations omitted]:
 - 1. To immediately suspend the powers of the Trustees, appoint a temporary Trustee or Trustees, and compel the Trustees to surrender all Trust property to such temporary Trustee(s);
 - 2. To remove the Trustees and to appoint a successor trustee or trustees to take possession of the Trust property and administer the Trust:
 - 3. To compel the Trustees to redress their breaches through the payment of monetary damages;
 - 4. To deny or otherwise reduce the compensation of the Trustees;
 - 5. Subject to § 18100, to impose a constructive trust on property of the Trust which has been wrongfully converted; and
 - 6. Subject to § 18100, to cause proceedings to trace and recover property and proceeds to which the Trust is entitled.

Fourth Additional Page 4B, Verni Family Trust (Trust)

Case No. 10CEPR00639

Remedies Sought by Petitioner, continued:

- Petitioner has suffered damages, the extent of which is unknown, but which is subject to proof at trial;
- Pursuant to Probate Code §§ 16420 and 16440, Trustees Rosa and Nick should be surcharged in an amount
 equal to the aggregate of the following: any loss suffered by, or depreciation in value of, the Trust estate
 resulting from the breach of trust, with interest; any profit made by the trustees through the breach of trust, with
 interest; any profit that would have accrued to the Trust estate if the loss of profit is the result of the breach of
 trust, that is appropriate under the circumstances;
- Petitioner requests an award of attorney's fees pursuant to any and all appropriate statutes and law including Probate Code §§ 17211(b), 15642(c), and 11003(b); in addition, to the extent the instant Petition results in recovery of property which benefits all beneficiaries of the Trust, Petitioners request that the Court award attorney's fees to Petitioner based upon the so-called common fund theory and related substantial benefit doctrine.

Petitioner prays for an Order:

- 1. Immediately suspending the powers of the Trustees, appointing a temporary Trustee or Trustees, and compelling the Trustees to surrender all Trust property to such temporary Trustee(s);
- 2. Removing Nick Verni as Trustees of the Survivor's Sub-Trust;
- Finding that successor trustee of the Survivor's Sub-Trust, Dino Verni, is not qualified to act as successor trustee;
- 4. Removing Rosa Verni as Trustee of the Marital Sub-Trust and Family Sub-Trust;
- 5. Finding that successor trustee of the Marital Sub-Trust and Family Sub-Trust, Maria Stanziale, is unwilling to act as successor trustee;
- 6. Appointing a receiver or temporary trustee following the hearing;
- 7. Surcharging the Trustees, Nick Verni and Rosa Verni;
- 8. Denying compensation to Trustees, Nick Verni and Rosa Verni;
- 9. Imposing a constructive trust on Trust assets wrongfully diverted from any and all of the Sub-Trusts at issue;
- 10. Directing the commencement of proceedings to trace and recover property and proceeds of any and all of the Sub-Trusts at issue; and
- 11. Ordering payment of attorney's fees and costs incurred or to be incurred by the Petitioner.

Response to Petition to Remove Trustees; Appoint Receiver; Surcharge Trustees; Deny Trustees Compensation; Impose Constructive Trust on Assets; and Cause Proceedings to Trace and Recover Assets filed 9/27/2012 by NICOLA "NICK" VERNI, Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST, and ANTONIETTA "ROSA" VERNI, Trustee of the MERGED FAMILY SUB-TRUST, states:

- Trustees admit that Petitioner CARMELA DeSANTIS is a beneficiary of the Trusts, [and that the three Sub-Trusts
 were created and amended as stated in the Petition, and that the Trust beneficiaries are as stated in the
 Petition];
- Trustees deny any allegations that Rosa has breached her fiduciary duties as Trustee, and Trustees object to Rosa being removed as Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust;
- Trustee lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny whether Maria Stanziale will decline to serve as the next successor trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust;
- Trustees deny any allegations that Nick has breached his fiduciary duties as Trustee, and Trustees object to Nick being removed as Trustee of the Survivor's Sub-Trust;
- Trustees deny any allegations that Dino acted improperly as it relates to the Trust or Trust assets; Trustees allege that Dino is qualified to serve as the next successor trustee of the Survivor's Sub-Trust;
- Trustees deny that the Trust will suffer any loss pending a hearing on this matter; Petitioner failed to provide any factual or legal support for her claim that the Trust will be harmed; Trustees have properly fulfilled their duties as Trustees, and there are insufficient grounds to suspend Trustee's powers;
- Trustees deny any allegations that they acted improperly or otherwise breached their fiduciary duties as
 Trustees; Trustees further object to being removed as Trustees of the Merged Family Sub-Trust and the Survivor's
 Sub-Trust;
- It is not incorrect, as Petitioner alleges, to merge the accounting of the three sub-trusts, and the reasons given by the Trustees for doing so are viable; Petitioner is attempting to merge the distribution scheme with the Trustee's accounting, which is incorrect;
- Trustees deny any allegations that the merged accounting is improper or somehow is in breach of their fiduciary
 duties as Trustees; the majority of transactions in the Trustees' account are not associated or chargeable to one
 Trust or the other in any different percentage than the ownership of the Trust's real property; the Trustees allege
 that for them to submit three separate accountings for each Sub-Trust would not produce any different result
 than as reflected in the merged Account;
- Trustees admit that Dino is leasing 102 acres of almond orchards from the Trust, and allege that the lease was established between Saverio and Dino prior to Saverio's death; after Saverio's death, Trustees continue to engage in this beneficial lease agreement with Dino;
- Trustees deny any allegations that the lease is improper or otherwise detrimental to the Trust and that the Trust
 receives nothing in return for the lease with Dino; the lease benefits the Trust in that Dino's cultivation and use of
 the land for agricultural purposes allows the Trustee to defer payment of substantial estate taxes, and the Trust
 benefits from the lease by the rent paid by Dino;
- Trustees admit that a certain amount of assets may be used to pay certain expenses relating to the almond
 orchards; however, it is agreed that Dino will reimburse the Trust for any expenses incurred for his separate
 farming operations; Trustees deny any allegations that his arrangement is improper; Trustees continue to farm
 the Trust land in the same way that Saverio operated the farm during his lifetime;
- Trustees admit that Trust utilizes and pays for the use of farming equipment owned by Dino; however, Trustees deny that such arrangement is improper, and deny that the Trust owns sufficient equipment to farm its properties; Trust properly makes use of equipment owned by Dino, and the Trust properly pays for the utilization of Dino's farming equipment;

Case No. 10CEPR00639

Response of Nick and Rosa to Petition to Remove Trustees, continued:

- Trustees acknowledge that Dino has access to a revolving charge account held jointly between him and Saverio; however, Trustees deny that Dino's use of such account is improper; Dino, along with the Trustees, manage and cultivate property and crops owned by the Trust; expenses are necessarily incurred as a result of Dino's work in maintaining and managing the Trust property;
- As stated previously, Dino's management of certain Trust property is beneficial to the Trust, as it permits the Trust
 to defer payment of substantial estate taxes, and Dino earns money for the Trust when said Trust crops are
 harvested and sold; nothing about this arrangement is improper or otherwise causes Trustees to breach their
 fiduciary duties;
- Trustees deny that all of the amounts charged by Dino were for his own personal use and benefit; it is agreed that Dino reimburse the Trust for any expenses incurred for his personal use or separate farming operations;
- Trustees admit that Dino is leasing 102 acres of almond orchards from the Trust; however, Trustees deny that the lease has resulted in a depletion of Trust assets, and further deny that Dino has converted Trust property for his personal use and benefit; in fact, Dino was specifically devised an undivided ½ interest in the land containing the orchards;
- Trustees admit that certain commodities grown and produced by the Trust are sold to third parties at the
 roadside of the property, at farmer's markets, and other locations; however, Trustees deny all other allegations
 made by Petitioner [that the proceeds of these sales are not reported in the Trustee's accountings and are
 being improperly diverted from the Trust;
- Trustees deny Petitioner's allegations [that Trustee's accountings do not reflect actual amounts received from
 sale of commodities, that improper real property distributions made by Saverio acknowledged by Trustees were
 not reclaimed or recouped by Trustees, that Trustee have failed to disclose and account for assets owned in
 trust and located in Italy, and that the Trustees are allowing equipment, labor and resources to be used without
 compensation by Rosa in her personal farming business];
- Trustees deny that they engaged in conduct that justifies their removal under Probate Code § 15642 and 16420, and further deny that there is any legal basis to remove them as Trustees of the Merged Family Sub-Trust or Survivor's Trust; Trustees specifically deny that they have breached any of the duties owed as Trustees;
- Trustees deny that Petitioner is entitled to any remedy pursuant to her Petitioner; [Trustees deny that Petitioner has suffered damages; Trustees deny that the Trustees should be surcharged any amount];
- Trustees object to any surcharge being imposed, as Trustees deny all allegations of wrongdoing on their part; Trustees deny allegations [that Petitioner should be awarded attorney fees].

Respondent Trustees pray for an Order:

- 1. That Petitioner take nothing by way of her Petition; and
- 2. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs of suit to Respondents.

- In the Matter of the Verni Family Trust (Trust)
 Atty Marchini, Joseph; Fashing, Peter; of Baker Manock & Jensen (for Petitioner Carmela DeSantis, daughter and Trust Beneficiary)
 Atty Baldwin, Kenneth A.; Thompson, Timothy; Cunningham, Nikole; of McCormick Barstow (for Antonietta "Rosa" Verni, daughter and Co-Trustee, and Nicola "Nick" Verni, son and Co-Trustee)
 Atty Armo, Lance, sole practitioner (for Leonard "Dino" Verni, son, and Nicola "Nick" Verni, son
- and Co-Trustee)
- Atty Bohn, Jeffrey D., sole practitioner (for Erlinda M. Verni, surviving spouse)

Petition to Construe Trust Provision [Prob. C. 17200]

Cont from 100212 VERNI and LEONARDA VERNI on 6/10/1999, and was 10/2/20	NENTS: Nued from 2012. Minute states
The VERNI FAMILY TRUST of 1999 was created by SAVERIO VERNI and LEONARDA VERNI on 6/10/1999, and was Cont from 100212 Cont from 100212	2012 . Minute
Cont from 100212 VERNI and LEONARDA VERNI on 6/10/1999, and was	2012 . Minute
Cont from 100212	
amonded ance by Settlers on the following day, 2711/1000: I Club, 3	
Aff.Sub.Wit. amended once by Settlors on the following day, 6/11/1999; Leonarda died on 7/31/2000, thereby causing the Trust to be	ntion and
Verified divided into three sub-trusts: the VERNI MARITAL TRUST, the Order to	
II I IIIVEIIIOIY I II VERINI I AINIEI IROJI, (WHICH Was allieliaea once aoning boilt II	ed Shorthand
I PIC I MOSIOIS MICHINICS, AND THE VERTILISATION (CODICS OF I	ter is signed
Not Cred "10313 and cred as Exhibit 74),	Court. r set for Status
• Following Leonarda's death, Saverio amended the SURVIVOR'S TRUST seven times, with the Eighth Amendment	
JOKATA OK STROST SCACILITINGS, WITH THE FIGURE IN THE TRANSPORT OF THE TRA	012. The
Aff.Pub. • Saverio served as sole trustee of the three sub-trusts until his Court in	indicates to
	unsel that it
Pers.Serv	cts to hear at ext hearing
II I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	er they have
Letters SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST; engage	,
Duties/Supp Pursuant to the Fighth Amendment to Trust NICOLA VERNI Medici	
Objections Wilchie	er they will
Video of the SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST;	e the Court's
• The beneficiaries of each of the Sub-Trusts are the Settlor's five	rice.
CI Report children: ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI (Rosa), NICOLA VERNI	
9202 (Nick), LEONARD VERNI (Dino), MARIA STANZIALE, and	
✓ Order CARMELA DeSANTIS (Petitioner); and with respect to specific	
AAA DOLANO VEDNII (COOO COO CO)	red by: LEG
Status Rpt MARCIANO VERNI (\$200,000.00) and ST. ANTHONY OF PADUA Reviews	
UCCJEA CATHOLIC CHURCH (\$200,000.00); 11/29/1: ~Please see additional page~ Update:	
J C Sparies	mendation:
FIB Notice File 4C	

Case No. 10CEPR00639

<u>Petitioner seeks and requests</u> a judicial declaration from the Court concerning the proper construction of Subsection1, of Section B, or Article IV of the SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST [refer to copy of Trust or Paragraph 11 of Petition for exact language requiring apportionment of the residue of the trust estate into equal shares for Trustor's living children.]

- Over Petitioner's objections, Trustees Nick and Rosa have provided a commingled accounting for the Merged Family and Survivor's Sub-Trusts, which fails to segregate each Sub-Trust's assets, liabilities, receipts and disbursements;
- The failure to appropriately segregate assets, liabilities, receipts and disbursements among the Sub-Trusts prevents the Court, trustee and beneficiaries from determining the size and holdings of the SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST; because the SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST will be used to fund the above-referenced equalization provision, any appropriate increase in size to that particular Sub-Trust will allow greater realization of the Trustor's intent and will provide a means for effectuating the equalization of prior distributions; conversely, any inappropriate decrease in the size of the SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST will undermine the Trustor's intent and deny the Trustee the ability to effectuate an equalization;
- The Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST believes that distributions made during Saverio's lifetime should <u>not</u> be considered for purposes of the equalization process; Petitioner believes this to be contrary to the language of the provision and intent of the Trustor;
- Saverio made during his lifetime numerous distributions of real and personal property, including Trust property, to various beneficiaries of the Trust, and in particular, to Nick (Trustee of SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST), to Dino, and to Rosa (Trustee of the Family Sub-Trust); the distributions include two Madera properties transferred to Nick and Dino without authority; real property in Del Rey transferred to Rosa; a portion of the Auberry North property transferred to Nick and Dino; and real property in Chowchilla transferred to Rosa;
- Petitioner contends these distributions and others must be accounted for in order to give effect to the equalization provision contained in the **SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST**; Petitioner believes that failure to account for the lifetime distributions will result in a vastly reduced final distribution to Petitioner and Maria Stanziale;
- An actual controversy exists between Petitioner and the Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST:
 - The Trustee contends that the aforementioned equalization provision does not require the consideration of distributions made to beneficiaries during the lifetime of Saverio; Trustee contends that only distributions that are to be made upon or after Saverio's death should be considered for purposes of equalization.
 - Petitioner contends that the equalization provision contemplates that material distributions made during Saverio's lifetime should be included among those distributions considered for purposes of effecting the equalization provision.
- Petitioner desires a judicial determination that the equalization provision requires the Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S
 SUB-TRUST to determine the value of material distributions made during the lifetime of Saverio in addition to
 those that are to be made upon or after his death to effectuate the equalization provision.

Petitioner prays for:

- A judicial determination concerning the proper construction of the equalization provision, and a judicial declaration that distributions made during the lifetime of Saverio Verni, in addition to those made upon or after death, be considered for purposes of the equalization process; and
- 2. An award of attorney's fees to the extent allowed by law; and
- 3. Costs of suit.

Second Additional Page 4C, Verni Family Trust (Trust)

Case No. 10CEPR00639

Response to Petition to Construe Trust Provision filed 9/27/2012 by NICOLA "NICK" VERNI, Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST, and ANTONIETTA "ROSA" VERNI, Trustee of the MERGED FAMILY SUB-TRUST, states:

- Trustees admit that Petitioner CARMELA DeSANTIS is a beneficiary of the Trusts, [and that the three Sub-Trusts
 were created and amended as stated in the Petition, and that the Trust beneficiaries are as stated in the
 Petition; Trustees admit that NICK is Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST];
- Trustees are at a loss to understand: (a) the reason such a Petition has been filed at this time; and (b) the relief requested by Petitioner;
- Trustees acknowledge that the Petition relates to a provision in the SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST; however, it is a
 provision that relates to final distribution of the SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST, and therefore the Petition has been
 brought by Petitioner prematurely; Trustees are not yet in a position to complete final distribution of the
 SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST as they are dealing with estate tax matters, litigation pertaining to a claim against the
 Sub-Trusts brought by Saverio's spouse, and distribution of specific bequests;
- The operative portion of Subsection 1, of Section B, or Article IV of the SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST [refer to Paragraph 11 of Response for exact language requiring apportionment of the residue of the trust estate into equal shares for Trustor's living children] relates to distribution equalization of Trust assets, the sources of which are assets owned as follows: (a) by the SURVIVOR'S SUB-TRUST; (b) by the Marital Sub-Trust; (c) by the Family Sub-Trust; (d) by Saverio individually; or (e) as a result of Saverio's death;
- The collective assets of the Sub-Trusts have been identified by Trustees on their accounting as of the date of Saverio's death; Trustees have not discovered any assets that were owned by Saverio at the time of his death other than:
 - (1) A checking account with minimal balances (<\$1k);
 - (2) A CD valued at ~\$205,000.00 which designated Dino as the pay-on-death beneficiary; most of the sum has been collected by the Trustees and added to the Sub-Trusts;
 - (3) Cash in a safety deposit box of **\$10,000.00**; most of the sum has been collected by the Trustees and added to the Sub-Trusts;
 - (4) Certain annuity contracts which contained beneficiary designations directing proceeds to be paid to such beneficiaries as a result of Saverio's death; annuities were payable to Saverio's children in equal shares and do not require equalization.
- The assets owned by the Sub-Trusts which are specifically devised, over which the equalization provision operates, consist of:
 - (1) Assets which constitute the **VERNI OLIVE OIL COMPANY**;
 - (2) A portion of the "Auberry Ranch" consisting of two parcels of ~212 acres held in the Marital-Sub-Trust and Family Sub-Trust;
 - (3) An undivided interest in two parcels of real estate in Madera County consisting of ~130 acres associated with in the Marital-Sub-Trust;
 - (4) All farm machinery and equipment, tractors, farm vehicles, and farming implements.
- Petitioner refers to certain properties:
 - (1) Two Madera properties consisting of ~130 acres; same property noted in (3) above;
 - (2) Real property in Del Rey (~42.5 acres) transferred to **ROSA**; the property is in Clovis; the Trustees allege that the property was acquired by Rosa as compensation for labor provided to the Trustors, and she developed and improved the property with her own funds;
 - (3) A~160 acre portion of the Auberry North property; Trustees allege this property was purchased by NICK and DINO with their own funds; both Nick and Dino have produced documentation which clearly shows that they used their own funds in acquiring the property, and they have already provided that documentation to Petitioner;
 - (4) Real property in Chowchilla (~129 acres) transferred to **ROSA**; there is no such property;

Third Additional Page 4C, Verni Family Trust (Trust)

Case No. 10CEPR00639

Respondent Trustees pray for an Order:

- 1. That the equalization provision does not operate over lifetime gifts owing to the simple language of the provision, and also because the lifetime gifts which Petitioner alleges in her *Petition* were actually purchases by the beneficiaries; and
- 2. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs of suit to Respondents.

- 4D In the Matter of the Verni Family Trust (Trust) Case No. 10CEPR00639
- Atty Marchini, Joseph; Fashing, Peter; of Baker Manock & Jensen (for Petitioner Carmela DeSantis, daughter and Trust Beneficiary)
- Atty Baldwin, Kenneth A.; Thompson, Timothy; Cunningham, Nikole E.; of McCormick Barstow (for Antonietta "Rosa" Verni, daughter and Co-Trustee, and Nicola "Nick" Verni, son and Co-Trustee)
- Atty Armo, Lance, sole practitioner (for Leonard "Dino" Verni, son, and Nicola "Nick" Verni, son and Co-Trustee)
- Atty Bohn, Jeffrey D., sole practitioner (for Erlinda M. Verni, surviving spouse)

Petition to Establish Claim of Ownership, in Favor of Trust, to Property and for Order Directing its Transfer to the Trustees to Hold in Trust (Prob. C. 850, 17200.1)

	Transfer to the Trustees to Hold in Trust (Prob. C. 850, 17200.1)						
Lec	onarda DOD: 7/31/20	000	CARMELA DeSANTIS, daughter and Trust Beneficiary, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/			
Sav	Saverio DOD: 5/25/2009		,	COMMENTS:			
			Petitioner states:	Continued from			
			The VERNI FAMILY TRUST of 1999 was created by SAVERIO VERNI (10 (1000))	Continued from 10/2/2012. Minute			
Co	ont. from 100212		and LEONARDA VERNI on 6/10/1999, and was amended once by Settlors on the following day, 6/11/1999; Leonarda died on	Order states			
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		7/31/2000, thereby causing the Trust to be divided into three sub-	Stipulation and			
✓	Verified		trusts: the VERNI MARITAL TRUST , the VERNI FAMILY TRUST , (which	Order to Use			
	Inventory		was amended once during both Trustors' lifetimes), and the	Certified			
	PTC		VERNI SURVIVOR'S TRUST (copies of Trusts attached as Exhibit A);	Shorthand			
	Not.Cred.		Following Leonarda's death, Saverio amended the SURVIVOR'S	Reporter is signed by the Court.			
	Notice of Hrg	Χ	TRUST seven times, with the <i>Eighth Amendment</i> (the final) amending the SURVIVOR'S TRUST in its entirety;	Matter set for			
	Aff.Mail		 Saverio served as sole trustee of the three sub-trusts until his 	Status Hearing on			
	Aff.Pub.		death on 5/25/2009, and upon his death the Marital Sub-Trust	12/5/2012. The			
	Sp.Ntc.		terminated and its principal was added to the Family sub-trust,	Court indicates to			
✓	Pers.Serv.		which became the MERGED FAMILY SUB-TRUST ;	all counsel that it			
	Conf. Screen		 Pursuant to the Trust terms, ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI, daughter, is 	expects to hear at the next hearing			
	Letters		first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the	whether they			
	Duties/Supp		Merged Family Sub-Trust;	have engaged in			
	Objections		Pursuant to the Eighth Amendment to Trust, NICOLA VERNI , son,	mediation or			
	Video		is first appointed and currently serves as Successor Trustee of the	whether they will			
	Receipt		SURVIVOR'S TRUST;	require the Court's assistance.			
	CI Report		The beneficiaries of each of the Sub-Trusts are the Settlor's five Sub-Trusts are the Settlor's five	assistance.			
	9202		children: ANTONIETTA ROSA VERNI (Rosa), NICOLA VERNI (Nick), LEONARD VERNI (Dino), MARIA STANZIALE, and CARMELA				
✓	Order		DeSANTIS (Petitioner); and with respect to specific distributions				
	Aff. Posting		from the Survivor's Sub-Trust only: ERLINDA MARCIANO VERNI	Reviewed by: LEG			
	Status Rpt		(\$200,000.00) and ST. ANTHONY OF PADUA CATHOLIC CHURCH	Reviewed on:			
<u> </u>			(\$200,000.00);	11/29/12			
<u> </u>	UCCJEA		~Please see additional page~	Updates:			
-	Citation		Frieuse see dudiiioridi page-	Recommendation:			
	FTB Notice			File 4D - Verni			

Petitioner states, continued:

Over Petitioner's objections, Trustees Nick and Rosa have provided a commingled accounting for the Merged
Family and Survivor's Sub-Trusts, which fails to segregate each Sub-Trust's assets, liabilities, receipts and
disbursements; the allegations that follow may not distinguish between the respective Sub-Trust because the
nature the accounting will not allow it; [the following allegations of the practices by the Trustees are all practices
that continue to the present]:

Petitioner's requests for specific relief:

<u>Almond Crop and Almond Crop Proceeds:</u> Petitioner seeks relief under Probate Code § 850 in connection with almond crops grown on Trust property following Saverio's death, almond meat on hand at the time of Saverio's death, and any proceeds from said crops and harvests;

- 1. Based on the first and second accountings of Trustees, the Trustees are allowing real property assets of the Trust consisting of mature almond orchards to be exploited without compensation by Dino upon terms which are detrimental to the Trust and which confer a disproportionate benefit to beneficiary Dino; the Trustees purport to lease to Dino 102 acres of almond orchards in trust at \$500 per acre; however, Dino does not pay actual rent, but instead provides receivables for his rent, and the receivables do not earn interest and are not actually collected by the Trust; according to the accountings, this practice has been going on for a number of years and the number of unpaid receivables are accumulating [emphasis in original];
- 2. Based on the first and second accountings of Trustees, the Trustees are using Trust assets to pay the expenses of the farming operations on the almond orchards [emphasis in original];
- 3. Based on the first and second accountings of Trustees, the Trustees are using Trust resources to market and manage the sale of the products from the harvest of said orchards and to collect the receipts from those sales, all for the sole benefit of Dino [emphasis in original];
- 4. As a result of the matters alleged above, the Trust is being denied the profit on fully mature orchard land owned by the Trust, for which the Trust pays all cultural expenses and for which the Trust pays all administrative expenses incurred in the sale and collection of receipts; the Trust receives nothing in return and the profits from the operation, which belong to the Trust, have been and continue to be, diverted to Dino, all with full knowledge and acquiescence of the trustees [emphasis in original];
- 5. Petitioner believes that the Trustees have allowed Dino to convert the following Trust property to his own use and benefit, i.e., substantial quantities consisting of ~235,000 lbs. of almond meat that the Trust had on hand at the time of Saverio's death, all almond crops grown on Trust land since Saverio's death and all proceeds resulting from those crops; Dino's claim of right to such commodities and the Trustee's acquiescence to this claim of right have resulted in a depletion of Trust assets; Trustees have completely failed to account for this inventory in their first and second accountings;
- 6. Petitioner believes that Dino contends he is entitled to the ~235,000 lbs. of almond meat that the Trust had on hand at the time of Saverio's death, all almond crops grown on Trust land since Saverio's death and all proceeds resulting from those crops; Petitioner contends that the leasing arrangement is a sham and has been merely a means by which Trust property and profits have been improperly diverted to Dino;

Olive Crop and Olive Crop Proceeds: Petitioner seeks relief under Probate Code § 850 in connection with olive crops grown on Trust property following Saverio's death, olives on hand at the time of Saverio's death, and any proceeds from said crops and harvests;

- 1. Although the personal property assets of the Verni Olive Oil Company are specifically devised to Dino, the olive groves themselves are located, in whole or in part, on Trust land not devised to Dino;
- 2. With only minor exception, the olive groves are held in trust for the benefit of several groups of beneficiaries of the Sub-Trusts;
- 3. Dino has been converting the crops from these olive groves, olive oil inventory and supply on hand, to his own benefit or the benefit of the Verni Olive Oil Co. (which Dino owns) and to the exclusion of other beneficiaries;
- 4. Petitioner alleges **78 acres** of olive groves are located on land held for the benefit of Dino as to an undivided 50% interest and for the benefit of the residual beneficiaries of the Survivor's Sub-Trust (subject to the equalization provision) as to the remaining undivided 50%;
- 5. Petitioner alleges the crops were grown on land belonging to the Trust; were planted, cultivated, and harvested using Trust resources, and were financed by the Trust;
- 6. The Trustees have allowed Dino to convert the olive inventory on hand at the time of Saverio's death, the harvest from subsequent olive crops grown on Trust property since Saverio's death, and proceeds from the harvest of said crops; Dino's purported claim of right to such commodities and the Trustee's acquiescence to this claim of right, have resulted in a depletion of Trust assets; the Trustees have completely failed to account for this inventory in their accountings;
- 7. Dino contends he is entitled to the olive inventory that the Trust had on hand at the time of Saverio's death, all olive crops grown on Trust land since Saverio's death, and all proceeds resulting from those crops; Petitioner contends said harvests, crops and proceeds are Trust property which has been improperly diverted to Dino, to the exclusion of Petitioner and other beneficiaries;
- 8. Petitioner contends the olives on hand at the time of Saverio's death rightfully belong to the Trust, and all olive crops grown and/or harvested on Trust property since Saverio's death rightfully belong to the Trust; Petitioner contends that the Trust is entitled to return of Trust assets received by Dino and return of any proceeds from the sale of said assets, or alternatively, judgment against the party receiving said assets for their value;
- 9. Petitioner contends buildings owned by the Trust are used without compensation to the Trust by Dino to conduct the olive oil business; the Trust is entitled to possession of the buildings or their rental value;
- 10. The taking, concealing and/or disposal of the property was wrongful and done in bad faith; Dino, and any other beneficiary complicit in such taking, concealing and/or disposal shall be liable for twice the value of the property recovered in addition to any other remedies available pursuant to Probate Code § 859;
- 11. Petitioner has apprised the Trustees of their claims through their attorneys of record; however, Trustees will not enforce the causes of action against Dino and have been complicit in Dino's wrongful conversion of the olive crops and proceeds; Trustees' failure to bring suit was negligent, wrongful and otherwise improper.

Other Crops (Stone Fruit, Grapes, Etc.) and Crop Product: Petitioner seeks relief under Probate Code § 850 in connection with other crops grown on Trust property following Saverio's death, inventory from the harvests of said crops on hand at the time of Saverio's death, and any proceeds from said crops and harvests;

- 1. Trustees have failed to account for several crops grown on Trust land during 2009, including cherries, plums, and grapes; (Trustees have accounted for crops of that type for 2010.)
- 2. Petitioner alleges these types of crops have been in production for several years prior to 2010, that a harvest for each type of crop occurred in 2009, and that inventory form the harvest of said crops was on hand at the time of Saverio's death or during the remainder of 2009;
- 3. Petitioner alleges these crops were grown on land belonging to the Trust, were planted, cultivated and harvested using Trust resources, and were financed by the Trust;
- 4. The Trustees have allowed Dino and other beneficiaries to convert these 2009 crops and inventory on hand at the time of Saverio's death, and proceeds from those crops, to the exclusion of other beneficiaries; Petitioner alleges that Dino's and any other beneficiary's claim of right to such commodities and the Trustees' acquiescence to this claim of right, have resulted in depletion of the Trust assets; the Trustees have completely failed to account for this inventory in their accountings;
- 5. Dino, and any other beneficiaries receiving these types of crops, contend they are entitled to the 2009 inventory that (a) the Trust had on hand at the time of Saverio's death, (b) was harvested during 2009 following Saverio's death, and all proceeds resulting from those crops; Petitioner contends that said harvests, crops and proceeds are Trust property which has been improperly diverted to Dino and/or other Beneficiaries, to the exclusion of Petitioner and other beneficiaries;
- 6. Petitioner contends the 20089 crop harvest on hand at the time of Saverio's death and all such crops grown and/or harvested on Trust property since Saverio's death rightfully belong to the Trust; Petitioner contends that to the extent Dino has received Trust assets, the Trust is entitled to the return of said assets and return of any proceeds from the sale of said assets, or alternatively, judgment against the party receiving said assets for their value;
- 7. The taking, concealing and/or disposal of the property was wrongful and done in bad faith; Dino, and any other beneficiary complicit in such taking, concealing and/or disposal shall be liable for twice the value of the property recovered in addition to any other remedies available pursuant to Probate Code § 859;
- 8. Petitioner has apprised the Trustees of their claims through their attorneys of record; however, Trustees will not enforce the causes of action against Dino and have been complicit in Dino's wrongful conversion of the 2009 crops and inventory on hand, and proceeds from said crops; Trustees' failure to bring suit was negligent, wrongful and otherwise improper.

Other Inventory on Hand at Date of Death: Petitioner seeks relief under Probate Code § 850 in connection with other inventory on hand at the time of Saverio's death;

- 1. The Trustees have failed to account for other inventory on hand at the time of Saverio's death, including firewood and olive oil:
- 2. This inventory on hand at the time of Saverio's death was substantially greater than that reported by Trustees;
- 3. The inventory belongs to the Trust and was produced utilizing crops and timber from Trust land and Trust financing and resources;
- 4. The Trustees have allowed Dino and other beneficiaries to convert this other inventory on hand at the time of Saverio's death, and proceeds from the inventory, to the exclusion of other beneficiaries; Petitioner alleges that Dino's and any other beneficiary's purported claim of right to such commodities and the Trustees' acquiescence to this claim of right, have resulted in depletion of the Trust assets;
- 5. Dino, and any other beneficiaries receiving this other inventory, contend they are entitled to the inventory; Petitioner contends this other inventory on hand at the time of Saverio's death rightfully belongs to the Trust; Petitioner contends that to the extent Dino has received Trust assets, the Trust is entitled to the return of said assets and return of any proceeds from the sale of said assets, or alternatively, judgment against the party receiving said assets for their value;
- 6. The taking, concealing and/or disposal of the property was wrongful and done in bad faith; Dino, and any other beneficiary complicit in such taking, concealing and/or disposal shall be liable for twice the value of the property recovered in addition to any other remedies available pursuant to Probate Code § 859;
- 7. Petitioner has apprised the Trustees of their claims through their attorneys of record; however, Trustees will not enforce the causes of action against Dino and have been complicit in Dino's wrongful conversion of the other inventory on hand, and proceeds; Trustees' failure to bring suit was negligent, wrongful and otherwise improper.

<u>Proceeds from Sale of Trust Real Property:</u>

- 1. In 2004, Saverio, and possibly Dino and Nick, granted an option to DeYoung Properties to purchase certain real property, which included property held in the Trust and also property that was held (at least nominally) in the name of Nick and Dino; under the option, DeYoung could take all or less than all of the optioned property; DeYoung Properties paid ~\$3,000,000.00 for the option and the terms of the option allowed DeYoung Properties to use said funds toward the purchase price in the event DeYoung exercised the option as to any of the optioned property;
- 2. Nick and Dino received **\$1,000,000.00** of the option payment from DeYoung Properties prior to DeYoung exercising its rights under the option, and Nick and Dino each received **\$500,000.00**;
- 3. DeYoung Properties ultimately exercised the option as to some, but not all, of the optioned property; however, the property DeYoung purchased under the option was Trust property that was subject to the option, not the property that was held (at least nominally) in the name of Nick and Dino;
- 4. DeYoung used the option monies it had previously paid to satisfy the purchase price of the Trust property; thus, upon DeYoung opting to take Trust property in return for the option monies paid, said funds rightfully became property of the Trust; however, Nick and Dino never returned the money they received to the Trust;

- 5. Dino and Nick contend they are entitled to keep the \$1,000,000.00; Petitioner contends the money is Trust property which has been improperly retained by Dino and Nick to the exclusion of the Trust, Petitioner, and other beneficiaries;
- 6. Petitioner contends that the Trust is entitled to return of said money, or alternatively, judgment against the parties receiving said asset for its value;
- 7. The taking, concealing and/or disposal of the property was wrongful and done in bad faith; Dino, and any other beneficiary complicit in such taking, concealing and/or disposal shall be liable for twice the value of the property recovered in addition to any other remedies available pursuant to Probate Code § 859;
- 8. Petitioner has apprised the Trustees of their claims through their attorneys of record; one Trustee, Nick, is retaining ½ of the funds; the other Trustee, Rosa, is unwilling to act to obtain return of the money; thus, the Trustees will not enforce the causes of action against Dino and have been complicit in Dino's wrongful conversion of the other inventory on hand, and proceeds; Trustees' failure to bring suit was negligent, wrongful and otherwise improper.

<u>Annuity Received by Erlinda Verni</u>: Trust funds were used to purchase an annuity for Erlinda Verni, Saverio's spouse; Trust funds use to purchase the annuity were improperly obtained, in whole or in part, from the Family Sub-Trust and/or Marital Sub-Trust;

- 1. The Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust, Rosa, has allowed Erlinda to keep the annuity, to the exclusion of the other beneficiaries; Erlinda's purported claim of right to the annuity has resulted in depletion of Trust assets;
- Erlinda contends she is entitled to the annuity and any payments received as a result of it; Petitioner contends
 that the annuity and any payments are Trust property which has been improperly diverted to Erlinda, to the
 exclusion of Petitioner and other beneficiaries;
- Petitioner contends the annuity rightfully belongs to the Trust; to the extent Erlinda has received annuity
 payments or payments in exchange for the annuity, the Trust is entitled to return of said payments, or
 alternatively, judgment against Erlinda for their value;
- 9. Petitioner has apprised the Trustee of the Merged Family Sub-Trust, Rosa, through her attorneys, of this claim; the Trustee is unwilling to act to obtain return of the assets; thus, the Trustee will not enforce the causes of action against Erlinda; Trustees' failure to bring suit was negligent, wrongful and otherwise improper.

Petitioner prays for an Order:

- 1. Determining the that following is property of the Trust estate:
 - (a) **Almond crops**: (i) The almond meat inventory on hand at the date of Saverio's death; (ii) all almond crops grown on Trust land since Saverio's death; and (iii) proceeds from the sale of the almond inventory and crops;
 - (b) **Olive crops**: (i) The olive oil, olive crop and olive inventory on hand at the date of Saverio's death; (ii) all olive crops grown on Trust land since Saverio's death; and (iii) proceeds from the sale of the olive oil, inventory and crops;
 - (c) Other crops (Stone Fruit, Grapes, Etc.): (i) The inventory of other crop grown on Trust land, on hand at the time of Saverio's death but not reported in the Trustee's First Account; (ii) all such crops grown on Trust land since Saverio's death and during 2009; and (iii) proceeds from the sale of the inventory and crops;

Petitioner prays for an Order, continued:

- (d) Other Inventory on Hand: (i) The inventory of firewood and olive oil on hand at the time of Saverio's death but not reported in the Trustee's First Account; (ii) all such items produced from products grown on Trust land since Saverio's death and during 2009; [and (iii) proceeds from the sale of the other inventory;]
- (e) **Proceeds from Sale of Trust Real Property:** The money received by Nick and Dino from DeYoung Properties in connection with the option to purchase land and used by DeYoung Properties to actually purchase Trust land which sum is believed to be not less than \$1,000,000.00;
- 2. Directing each of the beneficiaries in possession or holding the property to transfer such property to the Trustees to hold for the benefit of the Trust and the appropriate Sub-Trust(s);
- 3. Directing each of the beneficiaries in possession or holding any proceeds from the sale or exchange of any of the property to transfer such proceeds to the Trustees to hold for the benefit of the Trust and the appropriate Sub-Trust(s);
- 4. For judgment in favor of the Trustees of the Trust against any beneficiary who received the Trust property and proceeds, in an amount to be determined and as required to compensate for all of the detriment and damages cause to the Trust; and
- 5. For treble damages pursuant to Probate Code § 859.

Response to Petition to Establish Claim of Ownership in Favor of Trust to Property, and for Order Directing its Transfer to the Trustees to Hold in Trust filed 9/27/2012 by NICOLA "NICK" VERNI, Trustee of the SURVIVOR'S TRUST, and ANTONIETTA "ROSA" VERNI, Trustee of the MERGED FAMILY SUB-TRUST, states:

- Trustees admit that Petitioner **CARMELA DeSANTIS** is a beneficiary of the Trusts [and that the three Sub-Trusts were created and amended as stated in the Petition, and that the Trust beneficiaries are as stated in the Petition]; however, Trustees deny that they have negligently, wrongfully or otherwise improperly refused to enforce claims stated in the Petition;
- Trustees admit that they have provided a joint accounting for the three Sub-Trusts; however, they deny such
 joint accounting is improper; the majority of transactions in the Trustees' account are not associated or
 chargeable to one Trust or the other in any different percentage than the ownership of the Trust's real property;
 the Trustees allege that for them to submit three separate accountings for each Sub-Trust would not produce
 any different result than as reflected in the merged Account;
- Trustees admit that Dino is leasing 102 acres of almond orchards from the Trust for **\$500** per acre; Trustees deny allegations that the lease is invalid or is detrimental to the Trust; the lease is beneficial to the Trust;
- Trustees admit that Trust assets may have been used to pay certain farming expenses relating to the almond
 orchards; however, to the extent that the Trust paid farming expenses for Dino's separate farming operations, it
 was agreed that Dino would reimburse the Trust for any farming expenses that were paid for by the Trust for
 such expenses;

Response to Petition to Establish Claim of Ownership, continued:

- The farming operations continue to be run in a similar manner to Saverio's conducting of the farming operations while he was alive; it was agreed Dino would reimburse the Trust for any farming expenses that were paid for by the Trust for his separate farming operations;
- Trustees admit that Dino continues to lease the 102 acres of almond orchard, and allege that the lease was established between Saverio and Dino prior to Saverio's death; Trustees deny any implications that the lease is improper as it was Saverio that granted the least to Dino in the first place; Trustees allege the least was entered into before Saverio's death and Trustees have continued the beneficial lease after his death;
- Trustees admit the Trust has paid certain cultural expenses and administration expenses incurred in the sale and
 collection of receipts; Trustees deny all other allegations of Petitioner that the Trust is being denied the profit on
 fully matured orchard land owned by the Trust that is being diverted to Dino; Trustees further deny that the Trust
 receives nothing in return for the lease with Dino, and denies that the lease is not beneficial to the Trust; the
 lease with Dino confers a substantial benefit of the Trust, and the lease with Dino permits the Trust to defer
 paying substantial estate taxes and is compensation by the rent paid by Dino;
- Trustees deny that there were 235,000 pounds of almond meat on hand at the time of Saverio's death; Petitioner presents no evidence to support that claim; Dino is specifically devised an undivided ½ interest in acres containing the almond orchards;
- Trustees allege that the lease is in no way a "sham" as Petitioner claims; Trustees allege that the lease was in effect prior to Saverio's death, and Trustees have continued the lease with Dino due to the benefit the lease provides to the Trust;
- Trustees deny that the Trust is entitled to almond crops grown or harvested on Trust property since Saverio's death; pursuant to terms of the lease, Dino is entitled to retain the proceeds from the sale of said almonds; the lease was in effect at the time of Saverio's death, and any almond meat on hand at Saverio's death rightfully belonged to Dino pursuant to the lease agreement;
- Trustees admit that Petitioner apprised Trustees' counsel of Petitioner's purported claims; however, Trustees deny that their failure to bring suit was negligent, wrongful or otherwise improper; to the contrary, Trustees allege there is no factual or legal basis for the claims raised by Petitioner;
- Trustees admit that Verni Olive Oil Company was specifically devised to Dino, and that the olive groves are held
 by the Trust, subject to the specific devise to Dino under the Merged Family Sub-Trust; Trustees deny Petitioner's
 allegation [that Dino has been converting the crops from the olive groves, oil inventory and supply on hand to
 his own benefit to the exclusion of other beneficiaries];
- Trustees admit that the olive groves, and any olive crop derived from Trust land, were property of the Trust;
 Trustees further admit that the olive groves were planted, cultivated and harvested using Trust resources;
- Trustees deny allegations of Dino's claim depleting Trust assets; Dino has not and does not convert the olive
 crop from the groves contained on Trust land; Trustees allege that Dino does not claim a right to the olive groves
 or the olive crops; however, Dino is specifically devised an undivided ½ interest in acres containing the olive
 groves; Trustees admit that the olive groves on Trust land and the olive crop derived therefrom rightfully belong
 to the Trust, subject to the specific devise to Dino; however, Trustees deny any allegation that Dino has
 improperly converted said olive crops;
- Trustees admit that Petitioner apprised Trustees' counsel of Petitioner's purported claims; however, Trustees deny that their failure to bring suit was negligent, wrongful or otherwise improper; to the contrary, Trustees allege there is no factual or legal basis for the claims raised by Petitioner;

Eighth Additional Page 4D, Verni Family Trust (Trust)

Case No. 10CEPR00639

Response to Petition to Establish Claim of Ownership, continued:

- Trustees admit that several crops are grown on Trust land, but Trustees deny that they have failed to account for the several crops grown on Trust land during 2009, including chemies, plums, and grapes; it is further unclear what basis Petitioner has for her claim that Trustees failed to account for crops grown on the land during 2009;
- Trustees admit these types of crops are grown on Trust land, and Trustees continue to run the farming operations in the same manner that Saverio operated the operations prior to this death; these crops rightfully belong to the Trust, and Trustees deny allegations that Dino improperly converted any crops or crop inventory on hand at the time of Saverio's death:
- Trustees admit that Petitioner apprised Trustees' counsel of Petitioner's purported claims; however, Trustees deny that their failure to bring suit was negligent, wrongful or otherwise improper; to the contrary, Trustees allege there is no factual or legal basis for the claims raised by Petitioner;
- Trustees specifically deny all allegations of any alleged taking, concealing, and/or disposal of Trust property;
- Trustees admit that Saverio, Nick and Dino granted an option to DeYoung Properties to purchase certain real property, which included property held in the Trust and also property that was held in the name of Nick and Dino; Trustees deny that money received by Nick and Dino belongs to the Trust;
- Trustees lack sufficient knowledge to admit or deny allegations regarding the annuity that benefited Erlinda; Trustees are unaware of the source of funds used to purchase the annuity and it is unclear whether Trust funds were used to purchase the annuity in question.

Respondent Trustees pray for an Order:

- 3. That Petitioner take nothing by way of her Petition; and
- 4. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs of suit to Respondents.

Andrew Wendell Clark (GUARD/E)

Case No. 10CEPR01110

Sanoian, Joanne (for Mark L. Clark – Father – Guardian – Petitioner)

(1) First Account and Report of Guardian, (2) Petition for Its Settlement, for (3) Attorney Fees and Reimbursement of Costs Advanced (Prob. C. 2620, 2640, Local Rules 7.16A, CRC 7.750-7.752)

Ag	Age: 8								
Со	nt. from 111412								
	Aff.Sub.Wit.								
>	Verified								
>	Inventory								
	PTC								
	Not.Cred.								
>	Notice of Hrg								
>	Aff.Mail	W							
	Aff.Pub.								
	Sp.Ntc.								
	Pers.Serv.								
	Conf. Screen								
>	Letters	4-21-11							
	Duties/Supp								
	Objections								
	Video								
<u> </u>	Receipt								
	CI Report								
Ė	2620(c) Order	X							
	Ciuei	^							
	Aff. Posting								
	Status Rpt								
	UCCJEA								
	Citation								
	FTB Notice								

Atty

MARK L. CLARK, Father and Guardian of the Estate, is Petitioner.

Account period: 4-21-11 through 4-30-12

Accounting: \$63,498.34 Beginning POH: \$62,466.08 Ending POH: \$57,227.20

(\$1,025.20 cash, remaining funds equities and taxable bonds; account blocked)

Conservator: Not addressed

Attorney: \$2,546.50

Costs: \$460.50

Petitioner prays for an Order:

- Settling and allowing this account and report and approving and confirming the acts of Petitioner as Guardian:
- 2. Authorizing payment of the attorney fees and costs:
- 3. Such other orders as the Court deems proper.

NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:

Continued from 11-14-12.

Minute Order 11-14-12: Counsel requests a continuance.

As of 11-29-12, nothing further has been filed. The following issue remains:

 Guardianship Estate funds are held in a blocked account as ordered; however, Petitioner states the account consists of cash, equities, and taxable bonds. The account incurred a loss in this first account period of \$1,955.14, which was more than the receipts from dividends, etc., during this period.

Examiner notes that the Court order does not appear to include authorization of these types of investments.

Need clarification with reference to Petitioner's duty to manage the estate in interest-bearing, insured accounts (Probate Code §2453, Duties, etc.).

The Court may also require bond, including cost of recovery pursuant to Probate Code §2320(c)(4) and Cal. Rules of Court 7.207, of \$64,085.41 as a blocked account does not protect from losses on investments.

Reviewed by: skc
Reviewed on: 11-29-12
Updates:
Recommendation:
File 5 - Clark

5

6 Virgil A. Lininger Irrevocable Trust

Case No. 11CEPR00828

Atty Sanoian, Joanne Atty Laird, Scott D.

Motion for Leave to File First Amended Petition to Invalidate Irrevocable Trust [C.C.P. 473]

	[0.0.1.470]	
Age:		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOD:		F
		Examiner Notes are not prepared for this matter.
		<u>maner</u> .
Cont. from		
Aff.Sub.Wit.		
Verified		
Inventory]	
PTC		
Not.Cred.]	
Notice of]	
Hrg		
Aff.Mail		
Aff.Pub.		
Sp.Ntc.		
Pers.Serv.		
Conf.		
Screen		
Letters		
Duties/Supp		
Objections		
Video		
Receipt	4	
CI Report	4	
9202	4	
Order	4	D. C. Line I.
Aff. Posting	4	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt	-	Reviewed on: 11-29-12
UCCJEA Citation	-	Updates:
FTB Notice	-	Recommendation:
FIB NOTICE		File 6 - Lininger

6

7

Case No. 12CEPR00007

- Larry M. Ward (Estate)

 Cobb, Lee S.W. (Special Litigation Counsel for John Leonard Executor Petitioner)

 Bell, James M. (for John Leonard Executor) Atty
- Atty
- Atty

Keeler, William J. (for Brenda Ward – Surviving Spouse)

Ex Parte Petition for Order Authorizing Employment of Chielpegian Law Office as

Special Litigation Counsel and to Pay Attorney's Fees and Costs on a Current Basis

DOD: 11-12-11		JOHN LEONARD, Executor, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/
		On 11-9-12, Petitioner filed an Ex Parte Petition for Order Authorizing Employment of Chielpegian Law Office as Special Litigation Counsel and to Pay Attorney's Fees and Costs on a Current Basis. The Court set the matter for hearing.	COMMENTS: Note: Hearing date 12-4-12 for Executor's Petition to Confirm Sale of Real Property was vacated
Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory		Petitioner states immediate retention of special litigation counsel is necessary to represent Petitioner and preserve and protect the assets of the estate to defend Petitioner and the estate against that certain complaint filed by Michael	along with the trial date of 1-2-13 pursuant to Settlement Conference Minute Order 11-6-12.
PTC Not.Cred. Volice of Hrg Aff.Mail		Callahan for damages in Callahan v. Leonard 12CECG02789, which seeks damages for purported personal injuries allegedly caused by the Decedent. On 6-8-12, Callahan filed a creditor's claim for purported	Upcoming dates: 12-11-12 – Further Settlement Conference regarding Brenda Ward's
Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv.	W	damages in the amount of \$10,000,000.00, which was rejected by Petitioner on 6-11-12. After inquiry as to potential insurance coverage, Petitioner submitted a claim to Travelers Indemnity Company of Connecticut and to California	Petition to Determine Ownership of Estate Property, etc., and Petition for Payment of Family Allowance (both
Conf. Screen Letters Duties/Supp		Capital Insurance Company for defense and indemnity. Petitioner is currently pressing both insurers to reconsider their respective denials in an effort to secure defense and indemnity coverage.	filed 5-24-12) 1-14-13 - Brenda Ward's Petition for Award of Homestead (filed 11-13-
Video Receipt CI Report		Petitioner states in the absence of insurance coverage, Petitioner has determined that it is in the best interest of the estate to retain Chielpegian Law Offices as special litigation counsel in connection with the action. Given that the action	12)
9202 Order Aff. Posting	X	is currently pending and insurance coverage remains uncertain, immediate action is required to preserve and protect the interests of the estate in connection with the action. Chielpegian Law Offices qualifications and billing	Reviewed by: skc
Status Rpt UCCJEA Citation		rates are detailed and attached. Petitioner hereby seeks Court approval to retain Chielpegian Law Offices as special litigation counsel in connection with	Reviewed on: 11-30-12 Updates: Recommendation:
FTB Notice		the action and to execute the Attorney-Client Hourly Fee Agreement (attached) and pay on a current basis according to the agreement.	File 7 - Ward
		Pursuant to the I&A the total value of assets in this estate is \$458,500.00. Petitioner prays for an order authorizing Petitioner to employ	
		Chielpegian Law Offices as special litigation counsel to defend and represent Petitioner in connection with the case titled Callahan v. Leonard 12CECG02789, authorizing Petitioner to execute the office's standard Attorney-Client Fee Agreement, and authorizing Petitioner to pay Chielpegian Law Offices on a current basis according to the agreement.	7

Atty Shepard, Jeff S., of Shepard, Shepard & Janian, Selma (for Petitioners Dale Arthur and Denise McKenzie, Co-Administrators)

(1) Petition for Final Distribution and (2) for Allowance of Statutory Fees to Attorney on Waivers of Accounting and Notice (1204, 10954, 10810, 10811, 11603, 11640)

DOD: 4/23/2012			DALE ARTHUR and DENISE McKENZIE,		·	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:	
		children and Co-Administrators, are		ors, are			
		Petitioners.			Note: Statutory fee calculation does		
					not take into account the \$2,285.00		
	nt. from		Accounting is waive	∌d.		loss on sale of the real property,	
						resulting in a lower fee base than is	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		1& A	_	\$262,403.33	stated in the <i>Petition</i> for calculating	
✓	Verified		POH		\$ 63,588.36	fees (correct statutory fee would be	
✓	Inventory				(all cash)	\$8,202.37). In addition, <i>Petition</i> states the Edison International, Prudential	
✓	PTC		Co-Administrators		waive	Financial and Semper Energy stocks	
✓	Not.Cred.		CO / (arriii iisii arois		waive	were liquidated and the proceeds	
✓	Notice of Hrg					were deposited into the estate	
✓	Aff.Mail		Attorney		\$8,248.07	account. However, Petition does not	
	Aff.Pub.		(exceeds statutory)			indicate whether the full inventoried	
	Sp.Ntc.		AttornoviVO			values of those stocks were obtained	
	Pers.Serv.		Attorney X/O	— notion of	waives	at date of liquidation, which is pertinent to the statutory fee	
	Conf. Screen		(for sale and lease option of real property, 4.50 hours @ \$200/hour totaling \$900.00.)			calculation. In light of the fact that	
	Letters	061412	4.50 HOOIS & \$200/H	Jul Turdiii	πg φ+00.00.)	the attorney is waiving extraordinary	
		001412	Closing —	\$5,000	0.00	fees of \$900.00 , Court may find that	
	Duties/Supp		(for preparation and			the error in the statutory fee	
	Objections		returns and any taxe	_		calculation and any potential	
	Video				,	difference in inventory versus	
	Receipt		Distribution pursuant	to intest	ate succession	liquidation values, may be	
	CI Report		is to:			considered minimal and a correction	
✓	9202		 DALE ARTHUR – : 	\$ <mark>7,191.4</mark> 7	7 [or possibly	unnecessary after notice to	
✓	Order		\$7,198.00] cash;			beneficiaries of the error.	
	Aff. Posting		DAN ARTHUR – \$		[or possibly	Reviewed by: LEG	
	Status Rpt		\$7,198.00] cash;		47 for mossible	Reviewed on: 11/29/12	
	UCCJEA		 DOUG ARTHUR - \$7,198.00] cash; 		47 for possibly	Updates:	
Citation		 DAMON ARTHUI 		1 47 for possibly	Recommendation:		
✓	FTB Notice		\$7,198.00] cash	• .	1.47 [OI POSSIDIY	File 8 - Arthur	
			 DIANA HASKINS 	•	47 for possibly		
			\$7,198.00] cash;		,		
			 DINA LUNDQUIS 		1.47 [or possibly		
			\$7,198.00] cash;				
			DENISE MCKENZI		-		
			possibly \$7,198.0)0] cash.			

Atty Dowling, Michael P., sole practitioner (for Petitioner Edward E. Anderson, Executor)

(1) Waiver of First and Final Account and Report of Executor and Petition for Settlement and (2) For Allowance of Compensation to Executor for Ordinary Services and Attorney for Ordinary Services and (3) for Final Distribution (PC 10954, 10810 11600)

DOD: 1/25/2012		EDWARD E. ANDERSON , son and Executor, is		NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:			
			Petitioner.				
			1		Petition and proposed order		
			Accounting is waive	ed.		state the statutory attorney	
Co	nt. from		1& A		\$179,378.51		fees, executor fees, and costs will be paid from cash on hand
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		POH		\$179,378.51 \$179,378.51		at final distribution available to
✓	Verified		1 011		(no cash)		the estate. However, based
<i>-</i>					(1.10 0001)		upon the Final Inventory and
V	Inventory		Administrator		\$6,381.36		Appraisal filed 7/26/2012, no
	PTC		(statutory)				cash exists on hand in the
✓	Not.Cred.						estate and Petition does not
✓	Notice of Hrg		Attorney	_	\$6,381.36		indicate that the estate stock
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	(statutory)				assets have been liquidated to
	Aff.Pub.				61 000 07		cash. Need clarification as to
	Sp.Ntc.		Costs		\$1,309.37		whether statutory fees and
	Pers.Serv.		(filing fees, probate certified copies)	reieree,	publication,		costs will be paid from funds outside the probate estate or
	Conf. Screen		cerilled copies)				whether the estate contains
	Letters	062912	Closing —	\$1,50	0.00		cash assets that were not
		0027.12	Closing	Ψ1,00	0.00		appropriately inventoried.
	Duties/Supp		Distribution pursuan	nt to Dece	edent's Will is to:		
	Objections		EDWARD E. AND				
	Video		interest in share		*		cash of \$1,500.00 that was not
	Receipt		 HAROLD S. AND 				included on Final Inventory and
	CI Report		interest in share	s of stock	ζ.		Appraisal filed 7/26/2012. Need
✓	9202						clarification and/or revised
✓	Order						proposed distribution stated in
							a revised proposed order. (Note: Closing reserve of
							\$1,500.00 may be
							unnecessary.)
	Aff. Posting						iewed by: LEG
	Status Rpt						iewed on: 11/29/12
	UCCJEA			Updates:			
	Citation					Recommendation:	
✓	✓ FTB Notice			File 9 - Anderson			
							0

Atty Poochigian, Mark S., of Baker Manock & Jensen (for Petitioner Susan McLaughlin, Trustee)

First Account and Report of Trustee and Petition for Its Settlement [Prob. C. 17200]

DC	D: 4/6/2012		SUSAN McLAUGHLIN, cousin and Trustee, is Petitioner.	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			Account period: 4/6/2012-9/11/2012	Need proposed order.
Cont. from			Accounting - \$784,519.24 Beginning POH - \$769,913.08	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Ending POH - \$769,757.48	
✓	Verified		(\$109,271.66 is cash)	
	Inventory		Transland	
	PTC		Trustee - Not requested (reserves right to request compensation in the event	
	Not.Cred.		of objections)	
✓	Notice of Hrg			
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	Attorney - \$1,817.60 (paid)	
	Aff.Pub.		(additional fees have accrued but not yet been	
	Sp.Ntc.		paid, and further fees expect to be incurred in connection with this petition including corresponding	
	Pers.Serv.		with beneficiaries and preparation of the account)	
	Conf. Screen		,	
	Letters		Closing Reserve - \$20,000.00	
	Duties/Supp		(for closing expenses of trust administration and to	
	Objections		pay liabilities; balance to be distributed)	
	Video		Petitioner states:	
	Receipt		ALLIE J. GIANETTI, as Trustor, created the ALLIE J.	
	CI Report		GIANETTI LIVING TRUST by Trust Agreement dated	
	9202		11/9/1997 (copy attached as Exhibit A), and	
	Order	Χ	amended the Trust by a First Amendment on 1/24/2003 (copy attached as Exhibit B);	Basican diametro
	Aff. Posting		 Allie Gianetti was the initial Trustee and upon her 	Reviewed by: LEG
	Status Rpt UCCJEA		death on 4/6/2012, Petitioner became the sole	Reviewed on: 11/30/12 Updates:
	Citation		Trustee and has acted as such since that date;	Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 10 - Gianette
	1151101100		~Please see additional page~	inc io oldriche

Additional Page 10, Allie J. Gianette Living Trust

Case No. 12CEPR00947

Petitioner states, continued:

- Petitioner, through her counsel, sent the required notice per Probate Code § 16061.7 on 4/20/2012 to all heirs of Trustor and beneficiaries of the Trust (copy attached as Exhibit C);
- Trust provides that after death of Trustor and payment of last expenses, the entire Trust estate [consisting of cash, bond, and investment fund] shall be distributed in equal shares among Trustor's cousins CHERYL KING and SUSAN McLAUGHLIN.

Petitioner prays for an Order:

- 1. Approving, allowing and settling the First Account and Report of the Petitioner as Trustee of the Trust;
- 2. Confirming and approving all acts and proceedings of the Petitioner as Trustee of the Trust;
- 3. Authorizing Petitioner to retain the sum of **\$20,000.00** cash in reserve for closing expenses of administration and to pay liabilities, and to distribute the balance of the reserve in the same proportion as stated in the *Petition*, without further Court order; and
- 4. Authorizing Petitioner to distribute the Trust estate to the persons entitled to it as set forth in the Petition, account and supporting schedules.

Atty

Williams, Steven R. (of Visalia, for Jun Hawkins – spouse/Petitioner)

Petition for Probate of Will and for Letters Testamentary; Authorization to Administer Under IAEA (Prob. C. 8002, 10450)

DOD: 10/15/11	JUN HAWKINS, spouse, is Petitioner and	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
Cont. from	requests that PAUL GESTIC , friend/named Executor without bond, be appointed Executor without Bond.	Need Duties and Liabilities of Personal Representative (Form DE-147) and Confidential Supplement to Duties and
Aff.Sub.Wit. s/ ✓ Verified	Full IAEA – OK	Liabilities of Personal Representative (Form DE-147S).
Inventory PTC	Will dated 03/16/06	2. Need Letters.
Not.Cred. ✓ Notice of Hrg ✓ Aff.Mail w	Residence: Fresno Publication: The Fresno Bee	
Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv.	Estimated Value of the Estate: Real property\$60,000.00	
Conf. Screen X Duties/Supp X	Petitioner also indicates that the	
Objections Video	decedent has personal property over \$100.00.	
Receipt CI Report	Probate Referee: STEVEN DIEBERT	
9202 ✓ Order		Deviewed have 15
Aff. Posting Status Rpt UCCJEA		Reviewed by: JF Reviewed on: 11/29/12 Updates:
Citation FTB Notice		Recommendation: File 11 - Hawkins
		11

Lydia Alice McCall (CONS/PE)
Kesselman, Kathi K. (for Mary McCall – Conservator)

Probate Status Hearing Re: Filing of the Next Account

Age:	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
DOD:	
	OFF CALENDAR
	5 TH Acct. approved on
Cont. from	
Aff.Sub.Wit.	11/14/12
Verified	
Inventory	
PTC	
Not.Cred.	
Notice of Hrg	
Aff.Mail	
Aff.Pub.	
Sp.Ntc.	
Pers.Serv.	
Conf. Screen	
Letters	
Duties/Supp	
Objections	
Video	
Receipt	
CI Report	
9202	
Order	D 1 11 15
Aff. Posting	Reviewed by: JF
Status Rpt	Reviewed on: 11/30/12
UCCJEA Citation	 Updates: Recommendation:
FTB Notice	File 12 - McCall
LID MONCE	THE 14 - MICCUII

Atty Smith, Jane T. (for Petitioner/Public Guardian)

Atty LeVan, Nancy J. (Court Appointed for Conservatee)

Petition for Appointment of Probate Conservator of the Estate (Prob. C. 1820, 1821, 2680-2682)

2680-2682)				
Age: 56 years			TEMPORARY EXPIRES 12/5/12	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:
			PUBLIC GUARDIAN is petitioner and requests appointment as Conservator of the Estate.	Court Investigator Dina Calvillo advised rights on 11/27/12.
Cont. from			Estimated value of the Estate:	
	Aff.Sub.Wit.		Personal property - \$12,035.35	
1	Verified		Annual income - \$13,440.00	
	Inventory		Total - \$25,475.35	
	PTC		Petition alleges: Ms. Campos is on an LPS	
	Not.Cred.		Conservatorship of her person. While on LPS	
✓	Notice of Hrg		Conservatorship Ms. Campos is not able to	
✓	Aff.Mail	W/	manage her financial affairs. Ms. Campos has a bank account solely in her name and her Social	
	Aff.Pub.		Security benefits are automatically deposited	
	Sp.Ntc.		into that account.	
	Pers.Serv.		Ms. Campos also has assorted personal property	
	Conf. Screen		at her former residence that need to be	
✓	Letters		marshaled to protect them from loss or harm and to preserve them for her benefit. This	
	Duties/Supp		authority is necessary because her son refuses to	
	Objections		surrender the items and has already pawned on	
	Video		television set and has threatened to sell her	
	Receipt		parrots.	
	CI Report		Court Investigator Dina Calvillo's Report filed on	
	9202		11/27/12.	
✓	Order			
	Aff. Posting			Reviewed by: KT
	Status Rpt	<u> </u>		Reviewed on: 11/30/12
	UCCJEA	<u> </u>		Updates:
✓	Citation			Recommendation:
	FTB Notice			File 13 - Campos
				10

Atty Macias, Alexandra (pro per – paternal aunt/Petitioner)

Atty Neumann, Dallas (for Kristina Knobloch – mother)

Petition for Appointment of Guardian of the Person (Prob. C. 1510)

Age: 22 months		TEMPORARY EXPIRES 12/05/12	NEEDS/PROBLEMS/COMMENTS:		
Cont. from Aff.Sub.Wit. Verified Inventory PTC Not.Cred. Notice of Hrg Aff.Mail Aff.Pub. Sp.Ntc. Pers.Serv. Conf. Screen	X	TEMPORARY EXPIRES 12/05/12 ALEXANDRA MACIAS, paternal aunt, is Petitioner. Father: PHILLIP JIMENEZ Mother: KRISTINA KNOBLOCH Paternal grandfather: NOT LISTED Paternal grandfather: NOT LISTED Maternal grandfather: NOT LISTED Maternal grandfather: TERRI JOHNSON Petitioner alleges that both parents have substance abuse issues. The mother is in recovery at this time, but guardianship is needed to ensure Gracie's safety and stability.	 Need proof of personal service at least 15 days before the hearing of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian or Consent & Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence for: Phillip Jimenez (father) Need proof of service by mail at least 15 days before the hearing of Notice of Hearing with a copy of the Petition for Appointment of Guardian or Consent & Waiver of Notice or Declaration of Due Diligence for: Paternal grandfather Amanda Culver (Paternal 		
✓ Letters		Court Investigator Samantha Henson filed a report	grandmother) - Matemal grandfather		
✓ Duties/Supp Objections		on 11/27/12.	- Terri Johnson (maternal grandmother)		
Video Receipt		Declaration of Mother, Kristina Knobloch, in Opposition to Petition for Appointment of Guardian	Note: Notice of Setting Hearing filed		
✓ CI Report 9202		filed 11/26/12 states: She has completed a six- month outpatient drug rehab program and	10/09/12 that a hearing has been set on 12/05/12 was mailed to Alexandra Macias (Petitioner) and Kristina		
√ Order		continues to voluntarily participate in classes with the rehab program and attend AA/NA meetings. She is also attending a DUI program that was court	Knobloch (mother) on 10/08/12.		
Aff. Posting		ordered as a result of DUI's she received in 2006.	Reviewed by: JF		
Status Rpt		She further states that she has a two bedroom apartment and the second bedroom is set up for	Reviewed on: 11/30/12		
✓ UCCJEA		Gracie. She states that she is a wonderful mother	Updates:		
Citation		and that Gracie is very bonded to her. She states	Recommendation:		
FTB Notice		that she and the father are no longer in a romantic relationship, but they have maintained an amicable relationship for Gracie's sake. Also, she and the guardian are very civilized with each other and have been working together regarding visitation. She requests that the general guardianship be denied.	File 14 - Jimenez		
			1./		